



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |  |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/664,482         | 09/17/2003                         | Richard A. Tatina    | P03,0313                | 4369                    |  |  |
| 26574              | 7590 03/08/2006                    |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER                |  |  |
| SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP |                                    |                      | GORDON, S               | GORDON, STEPHEN T       |  |  |
|                    | PATENT DEPARTMENT 6600 SEARS TOWER |                      |                         | PAPER NUMBER            |  |  |
| CHICAGO,           | CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473             |                      |                         |                         |  |  |
|                    |                                    |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006 | DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006 |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)       |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/664,482      | TATINA, RICHARD A. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit           |  |  |
| Stephen Gordon  | 3612               |  |  |

|   |  | Stephen Gordon   | 3012  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appear  | ars on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add  | lress                                    |
| THE RE  | PLY FILED 28 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1. ⊠ Th<br>thi<br>pla<br>a l                    | e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br>s application, applicant must timely file one of the follow<br>aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br>Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance<br>the periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i                 | of Appeal. To avoid aba<br>affidavit, or other evider<br>n compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)           |
| a) 🔲  |  |  |   |  |
| b) 🛚  | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai  | ling date of the final reject   | ion.                                     |
|   | TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70   | 06.07(f).  |   |  |
| have bee<br>under 37<br>set forth i<br>may redu | ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amou<br>chortened statutory period for reply o<br>than three months after the mailing | nt of the fee. The appropuring the final Off                                    | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
|   | e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp  | liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must I  | ne filed within two mont  | hs of the date of                        |
| fili  | ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),   | to avoid dismissal of the   | ne appeal. Since                         |
| (a)   | he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, low They raise new issues that would require further con   | nsideration and/or search (see N   |   | ecause                                   |
|   | <ul> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   |  | reducing or simplifying   | the issues for                           |
| (d)   | ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally  | rejected claims.  |  |
|   | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  |  |   |  |
|   | he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13   |  | Compliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                              |
|   | pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   |  |   |  |
| _ no  | ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all in-allowable claim(s).  |  |   |  |
| ho  | or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | will be efficied and an   | ехріанацоп оі                            |
| Cł<br>Cl  | aim(s) allowed: <u>as per the final rejection</u> .<br>aim(s) objected to: <u>as per the final rejection</u> .   |  |   |  |
|   | aim(s) rejected: <u>as per the final rejection</u> .<br>aim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>as per the final re</u>  | iection  |   |  |
|   | VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | <u>rection</u> .   |   |  |
| 8. 🔲 Th   | ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | t before or on the date of filing a<br>d sufficient reasons why the affic  | Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u><br>lavit or other evidence i                     | ot be entered<br>s necessary and         |
| en  | ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>ttered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to colowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome all rejections under ap  | peal and/or appellant fa  | ils to provide a                         |
|   | he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims afte   | r entry is below or attac   | hed.                                     |
| 11. 🔲 T   | The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | t does NOT place the applicatio  | n in condition for allowa   | nce because:                             |
|   | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape   | r No(s)   |  |
| 13. 🗌 (   | Other:   |  | Stephen Gordon<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3612                            | -y-06                                    |
|   |  |  |   |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: at leat the changes to claim 28 - lines 9,11, and 12-16 raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search. While it appears applicant's newly amended claims are beginning to move away from the teachings of the prior art and possibly toward patentable subject matter, it is not possible at this time to determine patentability of newly amended claim 28 without at least further consideration deemed beyond the scope of normal after final practice.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): section 112 rejections of claims 21 and 22; note objection to claim 25 is additionally overcome.